

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/788,419	03/01/2004	Ulrich Klotzki	A-8957.NMP/eb	4955
7590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER		
HOFFMAN, WASSON & GITLER, PC 2461 South Clark Street - Suite 522 Arlington, VA 22202			MATHEW, FENN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3.5,			3764	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ν
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	10/788,419	KLOTZKI, ULRICH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Fenn C. Mathew	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 № 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,13,14,17 and 18 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,7-12 and 15-18 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. ed.		
Application Papers		·	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 November 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2001.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/11/05.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/788,419

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 17 and 18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 6. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 2. Claims 3-4, 7-12 and 15-16 are objected to due to the phrase 'consist of at least one'. The word 'consist' is a closed term, while the term 'at least' constitutes an open term. Examiner is unclear of the scope of the claim. Is there only one swiveling locking element?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, and 5-6, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sreter (U.S. 5,180,352). Referring to claim 1, Sreter discloses a dumbbell with a handle element (9) and with at least one holder (3) for removable fastening of one or more weight disks, wherein the at least one holder comprises a casing, the interior of which is open on a front side facing away from the dumbbell

Application/Control Number: 10/788,419

Art Unit: 3764

handle element for insertion and removal of the weight disks, and locking means (8) capable of acting in combination with weight disks on their outer circumference. Examiner also respectfully points out that Applicant has failed to positively claim the weight disks, and therefore, they are not considered part of the invention. Referring to claim 6, as best understood, the locking means of Sreter may act radially on weight disks.

- 5. Referring to claim 2, Sreta teaches a dumbbell handle element with at least one holder for removable fastening of one or more weight disks, and electronic circuitry provided on the holder (electronic oscillator).
- 6. Referring to claim 5, Sreta discloses the claimed invention including the electronic oscillator which creates sound which may construe an anti-theft device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sreta. Sreta teaches the claimed invention, as best understood, but fails to teach specific limitations regarding materials. The specific materials chosen would have been a matter of obvious choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention.

Art Unit: 3764

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C. Mathew whose telephone number is (571) 272-4978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fenn C. Mathew September 17, 2006